

February 14, 2020

# Blockchain May Solve Privacy Problem

Joanne Molinaro, Jeffrey Soble

Foley & Lardner LLP

+ Follow

Contact



**Its game-changing traceability and security features merit a thorough investigation to see what confidentiality solutions can be developed.**

*This article originally appeared in WardsAuto, and is republished here with permission.*

With the advent of artificial intelligence and the ubiquity of the internet of things (IoT), at some point customers may be able to drive into a dealership for tire and rim repairs with minimal wait time and an automated check-in/check-out process.

That could be handled from the convenience of a smartphone or, better yet, by speaking to the car.

The mechanics will have already pulled replacement tires out, warmed up a preferred rental replacement, and even have a cup of coffee ready – just the way the customer likes it.

This level of luxury concierge service may set car manufacturers apart from their competition; however, personalized service like this requires enormous amounts of data, which automatically raises privacy concerns.

According to the IBM Institute for Business Value, 62% of consumers would consider one car brand over another if it had better security and privacy. Recognizing the need to get ahead of the privacy issues, in 2014, the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers issued its “Consumer Privacy Protection Principles for Vehicle Technologies and Services.” **(Joanne Molinaro, left)**

These principles commit Alliance members to the following: (a) transparency, (b) choice, (c) respect for context, (d) data minimization, (e) data security, (f) integrity and access, and (g) accountability.

Blockchain is a decentralized digital ledger used to record transaction information across many computers.

What role does blockchain have in the privacy discussion? Blockchain is touted as the silver bullet for all sorts of problems with big data, including traceability, “hackability” and human error.

In many ways, blockchain features may also offer a solution to privacy issues.

Take, for instance, the “integrity and access” principle adopted by the Alliance: “Participating Members commit to implementing reasonable measures to maintain the accuracy of Covered Information and commit to offering Owners and Registered Users reasonable means to review and correct Personal Subscription Information...”

The virtual immutability of data housed on a blockchain can ensure that the data are not tinkered with or otherwise rendered inaccurate. Any “corrections” that need to take place will accurately be reflected as such, a modification of preexisting data that cannot be erased.

Indeed, the immutability of data is one of many facets that makes blockchain “unhackable”—another one of the Alliance’s principles: “Participating Members commit to implementing reasonable measures to protect Covered Information against unauthorized access or use.”

When combined with the decentralization that is the hallmark of blockchain technology and powerful cryptography designed to obfuscate (if desired) the participants and the terms of the transactions, blockchain may offer one of the most robust solutions to cybersecurity issues that continue to disrupt business operations.

However, it is precisely the immutability of blockchain data that may also present problems.

Once written onto a blockchain, the data resides on the blockchain forever. One of the Alliance’s stated principles is to ensure that its members retain “Covered Information no longer than they determine necessary for a legitimate business purpose.”

Europe’s General Data Protection Regulation similarly requires that personal data be retained for no longer than is necessary for the purposes for which it was processed. How can blockchain square with consumers’ rights to have their data deleted?

The immutability of data is a function of decentralization. Therefore, to provide for a “delete button” on blockchain data, the blockchain would need to become less decentralized. (**Jeff Soble, left**)

These blockchains are dubbed “permissioned” blockchains or “consortiums,” where the nodes are not anonymous, access to the blockchain is subject to the consent of other participants, and the

data may be more susceptible to change. However, as decentralization diminishes, so too will all its benefits, namely security.

With fewer nodes tasked with maintaining the integrity and security of the data, the more vulnerable to hacking the data become. Most agree, however, that blockchain's game-changing traceability and security features merit a thorough investigation to see what privacy solutions can be developed to address this dilemma.

In the meantime, of course, the most important privacy feature of all remains consent. We can all choose not to give up our data, even if that means we'll have to settle for straight black coffee instead of our morning latte the next time we have a flat tire.

[\[View Source\]](#)

 Send

 Print

 Report

---

## LATEST POSTS

- [COVID-19: FDA Issues Template for Over-the-Counter At-Home Testing](#)
- [Coronavirus Innovation Guideposts on the Eve of the COVID-19 Pandemic](#)
- [Ninth Circuit Rules That the Class Action Fairness Act Cannot Cure Jurisdictional Defects in Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act Claims](#)
- [Governor Evers Issues Mandatory Face Covering Requirement for Wisconsin](#)
- [Revised Michigan Executive Orders Amend Workplace Safety and Gathering Requirements, Highlighting Need for Continued COVID-19 Vigilance](#)

[See more »](#)

---

DISCLAIMER: Because of the generality of this update, the information provided herein may not be applicable in all situations and should not be acted upon without specific legal advice based on particular situations.

© Foley & Lardner LLP 2020 | Attorney Advertising

---

### WRITTEN BY:



Foley & Lardner LLP

Contact

[+ Follow](#)



Joanne Molinaro

+ Follow



Jeffrey Soble

+ Follow

**PUBLISHED IN:**

Artificial Intelligence

+ Follow

Automotive Industry

+ Follow

Blockchain

+ Follow

Consumer Privacy Rights

+ Follow

Cybersecurity

+ Follow

Data Protection

+ Follow

Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT)

+ Follow

General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)

+ Follow

IBM

+ Follow

Internet of Things

+ Follow

Manufacturers

+ Follow

Popular

+ Follow

more ▾

**FOLEY & LARDNER LLP ON:**

